

Imagery TWG Meeting Notes
Idaho Water Center
December 3, 2008

Attendees:

Margie Wilkins, IDWR	Ross Dodge, COMPASS	Bruce Godfrey, INSIDE Idaho *
Keith Weber, ISU	Gail Ewart, DoA/IGO	Julie Sendra, Homeland Security *
Eric Rafn, IDWR		Paul Schneider, Bingham Co. *
Jerry Korol, NRCS	Mike McGuire, Ascent GIS *	David Babbitt, Bingham Co. *

*via telephone

Gail started the meeting with an update on the status of the partnership (below is a partial listing)

completed paperwork:

ID Dept of Lands
IDWR
ID Dept of Fish & Game
ISU
BYU-Idaho
City of Chubbock
Camas County
Nez Perce County
Teton County
Fremont County

still pending:

Stimson Lumber
United Potato Growers
Inland Empire
Forest Capital
Potlatch

some partners have had to step away from their commitment

Gail reminded the group that paperwork stating Idaho's commitment is due Dec. 31st

Near Infrared band is included

Ross Dodge with COMPASS asked that Gail send him and Mike Bruce (with Canyon County?) the necessary paperwork for invoicing their contributions

Mike McGuire suggested contacting the six primary NAIP vendors for pre-bid estimates on high-rez

Keith started the discussion on deliverables.

- will reprojections be processed by vendor or partner?
- suggested again using student labor for reprojections
- brought up the success of the image server option being used at ISU for eastern Idaho counties

Mike said that RGB CCM reprojection were accomplished using MrSID but it was a resource intensive operation and may require bids. Also errors, were discovered

Image Services:

Gail emphasized that there needs to be an organized way for partners to receive the data taking into account the various physical and fiscal resources that will be required.

Gail said that hard copy data will most likely need to be done as a DIY service because of the cost of resources.

Bruce/INSIDE Idaho would like the partners to try out the image services they provide

Bruce will send out the address for II's image service (emailed instructions to TWG attendees on 12-03-08)

Paul/David said the upside is that users can export the data in multiple projection systems

There was some discussion on the pros and cons of JPEG2000, specifically if it produces a lossless or lossy image.

Next Meeting January 7, 2009