## PARCEL WORKGROUP

IDWR 322 East Front Street Conference Room 602B Boise

May 16, 2008 9:00 – 11:30 a.m. MST

#### **Notes**

Anne Kawalec	Ada County	akawalec@adaweb.net
Janet Cheney	Bonneville County	jcheney@co.bonneville.id.us
Chris Corwin	Boise State University	ccorwin15@yahoo.com
Gail Ewart	Idaho Geospatial Office	gail.ewart@cio.idaho.gov
Jeff Servatius	Idaho State Tax Commission	jservatius@tax.idaho.gov
Walt Bulawa	Idaho State Tax Commission	wbulawa@tax.idaho.gov
Jack Clark	Ada County	asclarjm@adaweb.net
Gary Wilbert	Idaho Power	gwilbert@idahopower.com
On the phone		
Chris Clay	Idaho Dept. of Lands	cclay@idl.idaho.gov
Craig		
Rindlishbacher	City of Rexburg	Craigr@ci.rexburg.id.us
Bruce Godfrey	Inside Idaho – University of Idaho	bgodfrey@uidaho.edu
Sam Young	Blaine County	syoung@co.blaine.id.us
Dennis Hill	City of Pocatello	dhill@pocatello.us
Marc Thomas	Federal Geographic Data Committee	Mltwo_19@msn.com
Donna Pitzer	Bureau of Reclamation	dpitzer@pn.usbr.gov
Michael Ciscell	Idaho Dept. of Water Resources	michael.ciscell@idwr.idaho.gov
Angela	?????	?????
Vanderpass		

## **Review the notes from last meeting**

Accepted with a few minor modifications

## Vision

Vision statement was presented to the group for review and approval. With some modifications, the vision statement was accepted. It should be taken to the IGC for acceptance and approval.

The question was asked, "How does this fit into the Cadastral TWG Vision Statement?" The Cadastral TWG does not have a vision statement per se and probably needs to develop one.

# **Goals and Objectives for the Group**

Several goals and objectives were presented to the group for review, editing and approval. These goals were discussed at length. Before the group accepts them, they will be posted to the Framework data website where they can be reviewed and commented on until the next meeting. Most of the discussion centered on the goal of developing a standard for publicly distributed core data set. The statement may need to be reworded so that it is more palatable to the Assessor community

## **County Inventory - Update**

Jeff was unable to show the survey to the group and therefore read the questions aloud.

**Action Item:** There was some discussion of the questions themselves and it was therefore decided that Jeff would ask Chris James, chair of the Assessor's mapping committee, if it would be alright to distribute the questionnaire to the group, and just the group, for further comment. If yes, then he will distribute to the group.

The question was asked that if the assessor's get the questionnaire, how does the questionnaire get to the people that the answer the questions, ie, the mappers. Jeff responded that there will be a cover letter explaining the purpose of the survey, who is to fill in the survey and who will see the results. Also would like to included that it is hoped that this one survey will fill the needs of several agencies so that counties won't have to be interrupted by several different agencies wanting the same information.

Jeff reported that Chris James and her committee will distribute the survey to the assessors. They will also collect the responses, compile the answers and report the results to Jeff.

#### **Possible Solutions**

One of the goals accepted by the group is that we need to promote communication between the GIS community and the county mapping entities (usually the assessors). In response to this goal, there was discussion about participating in the Assessor's meeting in August. Several ideas were discussed from having a member of the International Association of Assessment Officers come to speak to have Ada County Assessor, Bob McQuade report of the benefits of GIS to his office.

**Next Meeting Item:** No final decisions were made and the discussion was tabled until the next meeting.

Funding sources for mapping, other than charging for data, were briefly discussed. Bonneville County reported that they receive some monies from E911 in her county. It was a difficult process to get that particular funding stream set up, but it might be worth exploring in other counties. Eddie Goldsmith could be approached with the idea and allowed an opportunity to comment.

Craig Rindlishbacher was prepared to review and discuss the recordation fee plan that was originally prepared by Sheldon Bluestein, but time ran out.

Next Meeting Item: This plan will be discussed at the next meeting.

**Before we adjourn:** Next Meeting, June 18, 2008